### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

KENNETH H. SAMPLES	PCT				
FITCH, EVEN, TABIN & FLANNERY 120 SOUTH LASALLE STREET, SUITE 1600 CHICAGO, IL 60603	NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION				
,	(PCT Rule 44.1)				
	Date of mailing (day/month/year)				
Applicant's or agent's file reference 82271/7115	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below				
International application No. PCT/US04/03205	International filing date (day/month/year) 05 February 2004 (05.02.2004)				
Applicant PIONEER LABORATORIES, INC.					
The applicant is hereby notified that the international search have been established and are transmitted herewith.	ch report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority				
Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19: The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the cla	uims of the international application (see Rule 46):				
When? The time limit for filing such amendments is search report.	normally two months from the date of transmittal of the international				
Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Facsimile No.	0, 34 chemin des Colombettes				
For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the ac	ecompanying sheet.				
The applicant is hereby notified that no international search report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority are transmitted herewith.					
3. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that:					
the protest together with the decision thereon has bee request to forward the texts of both the protest and the	the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices.				
no decision has been made yet on the protest; the app	olicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.				
4. Reminders					
Shortly after the expiration of 18 months from the priority date, the international application will be published by the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in Rules 90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively, before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication.					
The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy of such comments to all designated Offices unless an international preliminary examination report has been or is to be established. These comments would also be made available to the public but not before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date.					
examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the	Within 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect of some designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for entry into the national phase before those designated Offices.				
	s (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19 months.				
See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the ap Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.	oplicable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide,				
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US	Authorized officer Sharen J. Than fall Kevin P Shaver				
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents	Kevin P Shaver				
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	Telephone No. 703.308.0873				

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230 Form PCT/ISA/220 (January 2004)

(See notes on accompanying sheet)

## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# **PCT**

#### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 82271/7115		re Form PCT/ISA/220 where applicable, item 5 below.				
International application No. PCT/US04/03205	International filing date (day/month/year) 05 February 2004 (05.02.2004)					
Applicant PIONEER LABORATORIES, INC.						
This international search report consists	n prepared by this International Searching py is being transmitted to the Internation of a total of sheets.  I by a copy of each prior art document ci	al Bureau.				
<ol> <li>Basis of the Report         <ul> <li>With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.</li></ul></li></ol>						
applicant may, within on to this Authority.  6. With regard to the drawings, a. the figure of the drawings to be passuggested by the asselected by this A	according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authorne month from the date of mailing of this in published with the abstract is Figure No. 12 applicant.	nternational search report, submit comments  2  2  2  2  2  2  2  2  2  2  2  2  2				
as selected by this A  b. none of the figures is to be properly  Form PCT/ISA/210 (first speet) (January 20)	uthority, because this figure better characte	erizes the invention.				

### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US04/03205

Box No		Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first
sheet)		
reasons	iemau. S:	ional search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following
1. [		Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
2.		Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
3		Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule
Box No	). III	Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)
1. Z	A S	As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.  As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3.	] A	As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4.	18	No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
Remark o	on Pro	The second second area and the applicant's protest.
D.C.	VICA (2)	No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

#### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US04/03205

A :C! A	CCUTICATION OF SUPERCENT		1 01/0304/03203	
A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER  IPC(7) : A61B 17/58  US CL : 606/61, 69				
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC				
	DS SEARCHED			
Minimum do U.S.: 6	ocumentation searched (classification system followe 606/61, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73	d by classification	n symbols)	
Documentati	on searched other than minimum documentation to t	he extent that suc	h documents are included	d in the fields searched
Electronic da	ata base consulted during the international search (na	ame of data base a	and, where practicable, s	earch terms used)
	UMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			<del></del>
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where	appropriate, of the	e relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Х  Y	US 5,904,683 A (POHNDORF et al) 18 May 1999	9 (18.05.1999), So	ee entire document.	1-7, 9-22, 24-31, 33- 54, 57, 58
Y	US 2002/0151899 A1 (BAILEY et al) 17 October document.			8, 23, 32, 55, 56 8, 32, 55, 56
Y	US 2002/0016595 A1 (MICHELSON) 07 February document.			23
x V	X US 2001/0047172 A1(FOLEY et al) 29 November 2001 (29.11.2001), See entire document.			59-62
A 🗸	US 2001/00471174 A1 (DONNO et al) 29 Novemble document.	001), See entire	1-58	
A	US 6,331,179 B1 (FRIED et al) 18 December 2001 (18.12.2001), See entire document.			1-58
P	US 2003/0225409 A1 (FRIED et al) 04 December 2003 (04.12.2003), See entire document.			1-58
P, E US 2004/0127896 A1 (LOMBARDO et al) 01 July 2004 (0 document.			4), See entire	1-58
Rurther	documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.			
	ecial categories of cited documents:		atent family annex.	
"A" document	defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be ar relevance	date an	ocument published after the inter ad not in conflict with the applica- de or theory underlying the inver-	tion but cited to understand the
considered novel or can			ent of particular relevance; the c ered novel or cannot be considere the document is taken alone	laimed invention cannot be ed to involve an inventive step
"L" document establish the specified)	which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to ne publication date of another citation or other special reason (as	"Y" docume	ent of particular relevance; the correct to involve an inventive step	when the document is
	document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art			documents, such combination art
priority da		"&" docume	ent member of the same patent fa	umily
	tual completion of the international search	Date of mailing	of the international search NOV 2004	ch report
	2004 (16.09.2004) iling address of the ISA/US	Authorized office	V / //	11.
Mail	Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US		Sharm 11.	There for
	missioner for Patents Box 1450	Kevin P Shaver		,- · · · /
Alex	andria, Virginia 22313-1450 (703) 305-3230	Telephone No.	703.308.0873	
DOTA	/210 (second sheet) (I			

#### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/US04/03205

C. (Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT				
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.		
T	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages  US 2004/0127899 A1 (KONIECZYNSKI et al) 01 July 2004 (01.07.2004), See entire document.	Relevant to claim No.		
	•	·		

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT	PCT/US04/03205	
·		
BOX III. OBSERVATIONS WHERE UNITY OF INVENTION IS LACK This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In order for all inventions to be examine be paid.	are not so linked as to form a single general	
This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In order for all inventions to be examined, the appropriate additional examination fees must be paid.		
Group I, claim(s) 1-58, drawn to a bone plate system with a screw lock.		
Group II, claim(s) 59-62, drawn to a bone plate system with out a bone screw.	·	
and it considers that the International Application does not comply with the requi 13.3) for the reasons indicated below:	irements of unity of invention (Rules 13.1, 13.2 and	
The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the screw lock which is the general inventive concept in view of the prior art.		
Form PCT/ISA/210 (extra sheet) (January 2004)		

International application No.

## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNA	TIONAL SEARC	HING AUTH	IORITY		
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY  To: KENNETH H. SAMPLES FITCH, EVEN, TABIN & FLANNERY 120 SOUTH LASALLE STREET, SUITE 1600 CHICAGO, IL 60603		WR	PCT  ITTEN OPINION OF THE		
0.110,10	o, 10 00005			INTERNATION	ONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY
					(PCT Rule 43bis.1)
				Date of mailing (day/month/year)	29 NOV 2004
Applicant	's or agent's file 1	reference		FOR FURTHER	ACTION
82271/71	15				See paragraph 2 below
Internation	nal application No	э.	International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/US0			05 February 2004 (05.02		05 February 2003 (05.02.2003)
Internation	nal Patent Classifi	ication (IPC)	or both national classificat	tion and IPC	
IPC(7): A Applicant	.61B 17/58 and U	S Cl.: 606/61	1, 69		***
PIONEER	R LABORATORI	ES, INC.			
1. This	opinion contains i	ndications rel	lating to the following item	18:	
$\boxtimes$	Box No. I	Basis of the	e opinion		
	Box No. II	Priority			
	Box No. III	Non-establi	shment of opinion with re	gard to novelty, inve	entive step and industrial applicability
$\boxtimes$	Box No. IV	Lack of uni	ity of invention		
$\bowtie$	Box No. V		tatement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> y; citations and explanation		to novelty, inventive step or industrial tatement
	Box No. VI	Certain doc	ruments cited		
	Box No. VII	Certain defe	ects in the international ap	plication	
	Box No. VIII	Certain obs	ervations on the internation	nal application	
2. FUR	THER ACTIO	N			
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.					
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.					
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.					
3. For ft	arther details, see	notes to Forn	m PCT/ISA/220.		0. 11
	mailing address of		S	Authorized office	Sharm M. Greene fac
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US				Kevin P Shaver	entra part

Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Telephone No. 703.308.0873

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/US04/03205

Box No. I Basis of this opinion
With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a. type of material
a sequence listing
table(s) related to the sequence listing
b. format of material
in written format
in computer readable form
c. time of filing/furnishing
contained in international application as filed.
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
<ul> <li>In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.</li> <li>Additional comments:</li> </ul>
·
orm PCT/ISA/237(Box No. I) (January 2004)

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US04/03205

	Bo	ox No. IV Lack of unity of invention
	1.	In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant has:  paid additional fees  paid additional fees under protest
		not paid additional fees
	2.	This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees.
	3.	This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is
		complied with
i		not complied with for the following reasons:
		See the lack of unity section of the International Search Report(Form PCT/ISA/210)
l		
۱		
İ		
İ		
1		
		•
l		
	4. C	onsequently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:
		all parts.
		the parts relating to claims Nos.
Ĺ		DOTTION 1997 (D. 1)
ŀ	orm	PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. IV) (January 2004)

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US04/03205

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

#### 1. Statement

#### 2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-7, 9-22, 24-31, 33-54, 57-58 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Pohndorf et al.. Pohndorf discloses a bone plate system comprising:

A plate 10;

Screws 42:

A locking cap 44;

Cams on the cap (threads); and

The locking cap is actuated in the bore of the plate and allows the screw to be inserted there through.

Claims 8, 32, 55, and 56 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Pohndorf in view of Bailey. Bailey teaches the use of split ring. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide Pohndorf with a split ring as taught by Bailey.

Claim 23 lacks an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Pohndorf in view of Michelson. Michelson teaches the use of a tool. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide Pohndorf with the tool as taught by Michelson.

Claims 59-62 lacks novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Foley et al. Foley teaches the use of a bone plate with two circular bores and 6 oblong bores.

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (January 2004)